



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/635,522	08/09/2000	Tatsuma Ohkubo	195531US2	5629
22850	7590	05/10/2005	EXAMINER	
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.			SEFCHECK, GREGORY B	
1940 DUKE STREET				
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2662	

DATE MAILED: 05/10/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No. 09/635,522	Applicant(s) OHKUBO ET AL.	
	Examiner Gregory B. Sefcheck	Art Unit 2662	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 December 2004.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) 9-16, 19 and 20 is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-8, 17 and 18 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
 Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
 Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|---|---|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

- Applicant's Amendment filed 12/20/2004 is acknowledged.
- Claims 1, 2, 4, 5, 7, 8, 17, and 18 have been amended.
- The previous rejections under 35 USC 112, 2nd paragraph are withdrawn in light of the amendment.
- Claims 1-20 remain pending, of which claims 9-16, 19, and 20 have been withdrawn from consideration. These non-elected claims require explicit cancellation by the applicant.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

2. Claims 1-8, 17, and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Fox et al. (US006421781B1), hereafter Fox.

- In regards to Claims 1-3, 17, and 18,

Fox discloses a proxy server apparatus and computer-readable medium for storing information shared among client devices across a computer network (Title;

Art Unit: 2662

Abstract; Col. 1, lines 13-16; claim 1,2 – saving apparatus; claim 1,2,17,18 - storing shared information via a network).

Referring to Fig. 2, Fox shows that the proxy server 114 is connected to a network (claim 1,2 – input/output unit connected to the network) for distributing notifications to client devices.

Fox further discloses that Server 114 contains a notification messenger, queue and interface for managing notifications between the servers and client devices utilizing memories and one or more microprocessors for storing and processing the notifications and communication protocols for one or more networks. (Fig. 2; Col. 5, lines 33-50; Col. 7, lines 18-20 and 40-42; claim 1,2,17,18 – application unit performing predetermined data processing; claim 1,2 – notification administration unit to manage notifications between a transmitting and receiving user; claim 1,2,17 – storing unit to store sending conditions of notifications, data including notification administration information specifying communication methods and programs; claim 3 – application unit comprises a plurality of application modules each configured to perform specific data processing).

Fox discloses that a request for originating notification to the client devices is selectively sent to the notification interface of the proxy server from the web server when an update to the shared information occurs (Fig. 2; Col. 6, lines 13-18; Col. 7, lines 5-23; claim 1,2,17,18 – request for originating notification to the receiving user is selectively sent to the notification administration unit based upon said application unit receiving shared information originating from a transmitting user and I/O unit subjecting the information to predetermined data processing and storing in storing unit).

Fox also discloses that the proxy server has the ability to authenticate requests and notifications exchanged between the servers and clients by making reference to the certificate contained within the notification requests (Figs. 4 and 5; Col. 8, lines 10-32; claim 1,2,17,18 – wherein notification admin unit makes reference to notification administration information and originates said notification based on said notification to said receiving user, according to said sending conditions and communication method, in response to receiving said notification request based upon a notification accompanying origination of shared information from transmitting user being received or a predetermined event set at said application unit occurring).

- In regards to Claims 4 and 8,

Fox discloses a proxy server apparatus for storing information shared among client devices across a computer network that covers all limitations of the parent claims.

Fox shows several types of notifications, including status requests of completed notifications, are processed by the proxy server 114, where the proxy server relays the notification to the client including the updated information, address of the information, or both (Col. 7, lines 20-40; claim 4,8 – application modules add information used by application modules corresponding to said reception confirmation notification based on said reception confirmation notification).

- In regards to Claim 5,

Fox discloses a proxy server apparatus for storing information shared among client devices across a computer network that covers all limitations of the parent claims.

Fox shows, after an initial request, the proxy server utilizes the stored authentication and domain information for relaying subsequent notifications to client devices at appropriate times determined by that domain (Col. 6, lines 13-18; Col. 7, lines 40-42; claim 5 – notification administration unit immediately originates notification based on notification to the receiving user according to the sending conditions included in said notification administration information, said notification selectively being temporarily stored in the storing unit and originated at a time determined by said sending conditions).

- In regards to Claims 6 and 7,

Fox discloses a proxy server apparatus for storing information shared among client devices across a computer network that covers all limitations of the parent claims.

Fox discloses that the proxy server can provide a status of a notification request when it receives a notification status request. Both pending and completed notifications may be queried (Col. 7, lines 43-49; claim 6 – upon receiving a reception state administration request from said application modules, notification admin unit generates reception state administration information for managing the reception state of the shared information by said receiving user; claim 7 – upon receiving a reception

confirmation notification from a receiving user indicating that shared information has been received, application module sends said reception confirmation notification and an origination request to said notification admin unit, and wherein, upon receiving said origination request, notification admin unit originates said reception confirmation notification based on said reception confirmation notification to said transmitting user).

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments filed 12/20/2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

- In the Remarks on pg. 12-13 of the Amendment, the Applicant contends that Fox does not disclose or suggest notification administration information specifying communication methods as recited in Applicant's claims 1, 2, 17, and 18.
- The Examiner respectfully disagrees. As shown in the rejection above, Fox discloses that server 114 is responsible for communicating with devices from different infrastructures having different properties, such as different communication protocols. Therefore, the disclosed server 114 of Fox is shown to store data including notification administration information specifying communication methods as recited in Applicant's claims 1, 2, 17, and 18.

Conclusion

4. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.


Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gregory B. Sefcheck whose telephone number is 571-272-3098. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 8:00am-4:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Hassan Kizou can be reached on 571-272-3088. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 2662

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

GBS
5-4-2005



HASSAN KIZOU
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600